Unconditional Pseudorandomness: Expanders and Extractors

October 31, 2023

Contents

1	Rar	ndom walks and eigenvalues	1
	1.1	Distributions as vectors, and the spectral gap $\lambda(G)$	2
2	Expander Graphs		5
	2.1	Algebraic Definition (Spectral expansion)	6
	2.2	Combinatorial Definition (Edge expansion)	6
	2.3	Expander Mixing Lemma	7
	2.4	Algebraic expansion implies combinatorial expansion	8
	2.5	Combinatorial expansion implies algebraic expansion \ldots .	9
	2.6	Error reduction using expanders	11

3 Undirected graph reachability in non-deterministic logspace 14

1 Random walks and eigenvalues

We consider random walks on undirected graphs. The graphs can have "multi edges" (*i.e.* there may be multiple parallel edges between the same set of vertices), and self-loops.

We first recall some basic facts from linear algebra. We use the linear space \mathbb{R}^n . If $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ are two vectors, then their *inner product* is

$$\langle u, v \rangle = \sum_{i=[n]} u_i v_i.$$

The vectors are orthogonal if their inner product is 0. The L_2 -norm of any vector $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$, denoted ||v||, is

$$||v|| = \sqrt{\langle v, v \rangle}.$$

A unit vector is one whose L_2 -norm is 1.

The Pythagorean theorem says that if $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ are <u>orthogonal</u>, then $||u+v||^2 = ||u||^2 + ||v||^2$.

The L_1 -norm of a vector $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$, denoted |v|, is $|v| = \sum_{i \in [n]} |v_i|$. The relation between these norms is as follows.

Fact 1. For every $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have

$$\frac{|v|}{\sqrt{n}} \le ||v|| \le |v|$$

Proof. The upper bound on ||v|| follows from the following observation. We have

$$||v||^{2} = \sum_{i \in [n]} v_{i}^{2} = \sum_{i \in [n]} |v_{i}|^{2} \le \left(\sum_{i \in [n]} |v|_{i}\right)^{2},$$

where the last inequality is due to the fact that the last term contains an additional term $\sum_{i,j\in[n],i< j} 2|v|_i|v|_j$ which is non-negative. Conversely, we have,

$$|v| = \sum_{i \in [n]} |v_i| = \sum_{i \in [n]} |v_i \times 1| \le \left(\sum_{i \in [n]} |v_i|^2\right) \left(\sum_{i \in [n]} 1^2\right) = n||v||,$$

using the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality.

1.1 Distributions as vectors, and the spectral gap $\lambda(G)$

Let G be a d-regular graph (with self-loops and parallel edges), and p be a probability distribution on the vertices of G.

Pick a vertex i from G at random according to the distribution p. Now, let q be the distribution over the vertices defined over the neighbors N(i) of the selected vertex i.

Then for every vertex $1 \le j \le n$, $q(j) = \sum_{i \in N(j)} p(i) \times \frac{1}{d}$.

Thus, q = Ap, where A is the normalized adjacency matrix of G-A[i][j] is defined to be the number of edges between i and j, divided by 1/d. We call A the random-walk matrix of G. Since G is an undirected graph, A is a symmetric matrix. Since G is d-regular, the sum of entries in each row

and each column is exactly one. Such a matrix is called a *doubly stochastic* matrix.

By induction, it is easy to show that the probability distribution over the vertices when we start a random walk at vertex i and take ℓ steps is $A^{\ell}e_i$.

Definition 2. Let 1 be the vector $(\frac{1}{n}, \ldots, \frac{1}{n}) \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Denote by 1^{\perp} the set of vertices orthogonal to 1. The parameter $\lambda(G)$ is defined to be

$$\lambda(G) = \max_{\substack{v \in 1^{\perp} \\ ||v||=1}} ||Av||.$$

We now show that $\lambda(G)$ is the second eigenvalue of the normalized adjacency matrix of a *d*-regular graph. This needs some pre-requisite results.

Lemma 3. All eigenvalues of a Hermetian matrix are real.

Proof. Let M be a Hermetian matrix, *i.e.* $M = M^*$ (entries of M^* are complex conjugates of the corresponding entries of M). Let x be an eigenvector corresponding to an eigenvalue λ of M. Then

$$\langle \lambda x, x \rangle = \lambda^* x^* x = x^* M^* x = x^* M x = \lambda x x^*,$$

since $M = M^*$ for a Hermetian matrix. Since $x \neq 0$, we have $\lambda = \lambda^*$, implying that λ is real.

Hence the eigenvalues of the normalized adjacency matrices of undirected graphs are real. We now have a special property for the eigenvalues of d-regular undirected graphs. Here, the real eigenvalues are upper bounded in absolute value by 1.

Lemma 4. Let A be the normalized adjacency matrix of a d-regular graph, and λ be an arbitrary eigenvalue of A. Then $|\lambda| \leq 1$.

Proof. We show that the spectral norm of A is at most 1. Recall that the spectral norm is

 $||A|| = \max\left\{ ||Av|| : v \in \mathbb{R}^n, ||v|| = 1 \right\} = \max\left\{ |\lambda| : \lambda \text{ is an eigenvalue of } A \right\}.$

First we show that $||A|| \leq n^2$. Note that all powers of A are also stochastic. Now, since

$$\langle w, Bz \rangle = \langle B^*w, z \rangle$$

and $\langle w, z \rangle \leq ||w|| ||z||$ by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

The above lemma stated that the largest absolute value of any eigenvalue for the adjacency matrix of a d-regular graph is 1. The following states that 1 is indeed

Lemma 5. If A is the adjacency matrix of a d-regular graph, then its largest eigenvalue is 1.

Proof. HW2

Lemma 6. 1 is an eigenvalue of the normalized adjacency matrix of a d-regular graph.

Proof. It suffices to observe that u = (1/n, ..., 1/n) is an eigenvector corresponding to the eigenvalue 1. Indeed, we have, for every $i \in [n]$, $(Au)_i = \sum_{j \in [n]} a_{ij}u_j = 1/n \left(\sum_{j \in [n]} a_{ij}\right) = 1/n = u_i$.

Hence, we know that the absolute values of the eigenvalues of the normalized adjacency matrix of a *d*-regular graph can be sorted as $1 = |\lambda_1| \ge |\lambda_2| \ge \cdots \ge |\lambda_n|$, with corresponding eigenvectors $1, v_2, \ldots, v_n$. Also, since $1^{\perp} = \text{Span} \{v_2, \ldots, v_n\}$, the value $\lambda(G)$ will be maximized by the vector v_2 , hence, $\lambda(G) = |\lambda_2|$.

The quantity $1 - \lambda(G)$ (*i.e.* the difference between the first and the second eigenvalues) of the normalized adjacency matrix of a *d*-regular graph is called the *spectral gap* of the matrix. Its importance is that it controls the rate at which iterated applications of A to a probability vector p causes it to converge to 1 in L_2 -norm.

Lemma 7. Let G be a regular graph, and p be a probability distribution over its vertices. Then for every $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$||A^{\ell}p - 1|| \le \lambda^{\ell}.$$

Since $\lambda < 1$, we conclude that iterated applications of A to any distribution p will lead to the uniform distribution on the vertices.

Every connected graph has a non-trivial spectral gap.

Lemma 8. Let G be a d-regular connected graph with n vertices, and selfloops at each vertex. Then $\lambda(G) \geq 1 - \frac{1}{12n^2}$.

Proof. Let $\epsilon = \frac{1}{6n^2}$. Let $u \perp 1$ be a unit vector (*i.e.* $\sum_{i \in [n]} u_i = 0$ and ||u|| = 1: note here that u is not a probability vector), and let v = Au.

We show that $||v|| \leq 1 - \frac{\epsilon}{2}$. If $||v|| \leq 1 - \frac{\epsilon}{2}$, then $||v||^2 \leq 1 + \epsilon^2/2 - 2\epsilon \leq 1 - \epsilon$, hence, $||v||^2 \leq 1 - \epsilon$. Thus it suffices to show that $1 - ||v||^2 \geq \epsilon$. Since u is a unit vector, we show that $||u||^2 - ||v||^2 \geq \epsilon$. We first show that

$$||u||^{2} - ||v||^{2} = \sum_{i \in [n]} \sum_{j \in [n]} A_{i,j} (u_{i} - v_{j})^{2}.$$
 (1)

Indeed,

$$\sum_{i,j} A_{ij} (u_i - v_j)^2 = \sum_{i,j} A_{i,j} u_i^2 - 2 \sum_{i,j} A_{ij} u_i v_j + \sum_{i,j} A_{ij} v_j^2$$

= $||u||^2 - 2\langle Au, v \rangle + ||v||^2$
= $||u||^2 - 2\langle v, v \rangle + ||v||^2$
= $||u||^2 - ||v||^2$

Thus, we have established (1)

Now, we show that $\sum_{i,j} A_{i,j} (u_i - v_j)^2 \ge \epsilon$. Since u is a unit vector whose coordinates sum to zero, there must be coordinates $u_i > 0$ and $u_j < 0$ such that at least one of these co-ordinates must have absolute value $\ge \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$, implying $u_i - u_j \ge \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$. Let us rename u_i as u_1 and u_j as u_{D+1} , where D is the diameter of G. Since G is connected, there is a path $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_{D+1}$. We have

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \leq u_1 - u_{D+1} \\
= (u_1 - v_1) + (v_1 - u_2) + \dots + (v_D - u_{D+1}) \\
\leq |u_1 - v_1| + |v_1 - u_2| + \dots + |v_D - u_{D+1}| \\
\leq \sqrt{(u_1 - v_1)^2 + (v_1 - u_2)^2 + \dots + (v_D - u_{D+1})^2} \sqrt{2D + 1}$$

where the last two inequalities follow from the relation between the L_2 and L_1 norms of the vector $(u_1 - v_1, v_1 - u_2, \ldots, v_D - u_{D+1})$. Thus

$$\sum_{i,j} A_{ij} (u_i - v_j)^2 \ge \frac{1}{dn(2D+1)} \ge \frac{1}{2dn^2},$$

using the trivial estimate $D \leq n-1$. Thus, we have $\lambda(G) \geq 1 - \frac{1}{4dn^2}$. Using the tighter estimate $D \leq \frac{3n}{(d+1)}$ for regular graphs yields the result as stated.

2 Expander Graphs

We now give two approaches to the notion of an expander graph - an algebraic approach, and a combinatorial approach. We show the relationships between the two.

2.1 Algebraic Definition (Spectral expansion)

First, we give the definition of an expander graph based on the parameter $\lambda(G)$.

Definition 9. If G is an n-vertex d-regular graph with $\lambda(G) < 1$, then we say that G is an (n, d, λ) -graph.

A family of graphs $(G_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an *expander graph family* if there are constants d and $\lambda < 1$ such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, G_n is an (n, d, λ) -graph.

The smallest value of $\lambda(G)$ is $(1 - o(1))\frac{2\sqrt{d-1}}{d}$, which are attained by Ramanujan graphs.

Definition 10. We say that the expander graph family $(G_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is *explicit* if there is a polynomial-time aglorithm that, given 1^n , outputs the *adjacency matrix* of G_n . We say that $(G_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is *strongly explicit* if there is a polynomial-time algorithm that, given $\langle n, v, i \rangle \in \mathbb{N} \times V \times [d]$, outputs the index of the *i*th neighbor of the vertex v in G_n . [n and d are as in Definition 9.

2.2 Combinatorial Definition (Edge expansion)

Let G = (V, E) denote a graph with the set of vertices V and the set of edges E.

Definition 11. A constant (d)-degree regular graph G = (V, E) is an expander if $\exists c > 0 \ \forall S \subseteq V, \ |S| \leq |V|/2$ implies that

$$\frac{|\{(a,b)\in E\mid a\in S, b\in V-S\}|}{|\{(a,b)\in E\mid a\in S\}|}\geq c$$

That is, a constant fraction of edges incident on vertices of S must be edges from S to its complement.

We now outline a probabilistic argument for the existence of expander graphs. This construction is only one of many possible constructions.

Let $V = \{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ be the set of vertices in a graph G we are about to construct. Consider permutations $\pi_1, \ldots, \pi_d : [n] \to [n]$ chosen independently and uniformly at random from the set of all permutations. We add edges to the graph as follows. For each permutation π_i , $1 \le i \le d$, if $\pi_i(k) = \ell$, then we add the edge $\{k, \ell\}$ to G. Then G is a 2*d*-regular graph. [For each permutation π_i and each k, we have $\pi_i(k) = \ell$, and for some integer j (possibly ℓ), we have $\pi_i(j) = k$. If j, k, ℓ are all distinct, this adds two edges per permutation to the vertex k. If $j = \ell \neq k$, then this adds parallel edges $\{k, \ell\}$. If $j = k = \ell$, then this adds two self-loops to k.] **Theorem 12.** (Existence of expander graphs) The above construction yields $a(n, 2d, \frac{1}{10})$ expander.

Proof. (Outline) The proof is a probabilistic argument. We show that the probability that G is not an expander is less than 1. This implies that there is some expander following the above construction.

Consider $S \subset V$, $|S| \leq n/2$, and let $\overline{S} = V \setminus S$. Let $S = \{v_{s_1}, \ldots, v_{s_k}\}$. Select a vertex v_{s_i} . Define the indicator random variables

$$X_j = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if the jth neighbor of } v_{s_i} \text{ is in } \overline{S} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then $\mathbb{E}\left[E(v_{s_i}, \overline{S})\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{j \in [2d]} X_{ij}\right] = \frac{d}{n}(n-k).$

Using Hoeffding bound, it is possible to bound the probability that a random choice of π_1, \ldots, π_d violates the expander property for the given cut S. Using union bound, we show that the probability that there is *some* cut which violates the expander property, is strictly less than 1.

2.3 Expander Mixing Lemma

Lemma 13. Let G be a d-regular undirected graph, and S, T be disjoint subsets of vertices. Then

$$\left| E(S,T) - \frac{d|S||T|}{|V|} \right| \le \lambda(G)\sqrt{|S||T|}.$$

Proof. Note that

$$|E(S,T)| = \mathbf{1}_S^\top A \mathbf{1}_T$$

and

$$|S||T| = \mathbf{1}_s^\top J \mathbf{1}_T,$$

where J is the all-one matrix. Hence,

$$\begin{vmatrix} E(S,T) - \frac{d|S||T|}{|V|} \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} \mathbf{1}_{S}^{\top}A\mathbf{1}_{T} - \frac{d}{|V|}\mathbf{1}_{s}^{\top}J\mathbf{1}_{T} \end{vmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{vmatrix} \mathbf{1}_{S}^{\top} \left(A - \frac{d}{|V|}J\right)\mathbf{1}_{T} \end{vmatrix}$$
$$\leq \left\| \mathbf{1}_{S}^{\top} \right\| \left\| A - \frac{d}{|V|}J \right\| \left\| \mathbf{1}_{T} \right\|$$
$$= \lambda(G)\sqrt{|S||T|}.$$

This explains why expander graphs are considered "pseudorandom" - the number of edges from any S to any disjoint T is similar to what you would expect in a random graph.

2.4 Algebraic expansion implies combinatorial expansion

Lemma 14. If G is an (n, d, λ) -expander, then it is an $\left(n, d, \frac{(1-\lambda)}{2}\right)$ -edge expander.

Proof. Let A be the normalized adjacency matrix of G. Let S and T be arbitrary disjoint subsets of vertices in G. Using the property that the second largest (in absolute value) eigenvalue of A is λ , we show that at least $\frac{1-\lambda}{2}$ fraction of the edges in S cross to T.

Define $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ by

$$x_i = \begin{cases} +|T| & i \in S \\ -|S| & i \in T \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then,

$$||x||^{2} | = |S||T|^{2} + |T||S|^{2} = |S||T|(|S| + |T|)$$

and

$$\sum_{i \in [n]} x_i = \sum_{i \in S} |T| - \sum_{i \in T} |S| = |S||T| - |T||S| = 0.$$

Thus $x \in 1^{\top}$.

Consider the sum of weights obtained by placing the weight $\frac{(x_i-x_j)^2}{2d}^2$ on every edge $\{i, j\}$ in the graph G. If the edge is inside S or inside T, then this weight is 0, otherwise it is $(|S| + |T|)^2$. That is, if we define $Z = \sum_{i,j} A_{ij} (x_i - x_j)^2$, then it is clear that

$$Z = \frac{2}{d} E(S,T) (|S| + |T|)^2.$$

On the other hand, we also have

$$Z = \sum_{i,j} A_{ij} x_{i^2} - 2 \sum_{i,j} A_{ij} x_i x_j + \sum_{i,j} A_{ij} x_j^2 = 2 \|x\|^2 - 2\langle x, Ax \rangle.$$

Since $||Ax|| \leq \lambda ||x||$, we have $2\langle x, Ax \rangle \leq 2\lambda ||x||^2$. This yields that

$$\frac{1}{d} E(S,T) (|S| + |T|)^2 \ge (1 - \lambda) ||x||^2,$$

hence,

$$\frac{1}{d} E(S,T) \ (|S| + |T|) \ge (1 - \lambda)|S||T|,$$

thus yielding

$$E(S,T) \ge (1-\lambda) \ d \ \frac{|S||T|}{|S|+|T|} \ge (1-\lambda) \ d \ \frac{|S||T|}{|V|}$$

as required.

2.5 Combinatorial expansion implies algebraic expansion

Lemma 15. If G is an (n, d, ρ) edge expander, then its second largest eigenvalue is at most $\left(1 - \frac{\rho^2}{2}\right)$.

Proof. Let G = (V, E) be an *n*-vertex *d*-regular graph such that for every $S \subset V$, |S| < n/2, there are $\rho d|S|$ edges between S and its omplement. Let A be G's normalized adjacency matrix.

Let λ be the ssecond largest eigenvalue of A. We need to establish that $\lambda \leq \left(1 - \frac{\rho^2}{2}\right)$. Then there is a vector $u \perp \mathbf{1}$ such that $Au = \lambda u$. Since $u \perp \mathbf{1}$, we have $\langle u, \mathbf{1} \rangle = \sum_{i \in [n]} u_i = 0$, it is clear that u has positive and negative co-ordinates. Write u = v + w where v is non-zero in co-ordinates where u are positive, and w is non-zero in co-ordinates where u has negative entries. Assume, without loss of generality, that v contains at most n/2 non-zero entries (otherwise, we can use -u). Define

$$Z = \sum_{i,j} A_{ij} \left| v_i^2 - v_j^2 \right|.$$

If we show that

$$Z \ge 2\rho \|v\|^2$$

$$Z \le \sqrt{8(1-\lambda)} \|v\|^2,$$
(2)

then it follows that

$$\lambda \ge 1 - \frac{\rho^2}{2}.$$

§ We first show that $Z \ge 2\rho ||v||^2$. Sort the co-ordinates of v so that $v_1 \ge v_2 \ge \cdots \ge v_n$, with $v_i = 0$ for all $i \ge \frac{n}{2}$. Note that

$$v_i^2 - v_j^2 = (v_i^2 - v_{i+1}^2) + (v_{i+1}^2 - v_{i+2}^2) + \dots + (v_{j-1}^2 - v_j^2).$$

Hence,

$$Z = \sum_{i \in [n]} \sum_{j \in [n]} A_{ij} \left| v_i^2 - v_j^2 \right| = \sum_{i \in [n]} \sum_{j \in [i+1,n]} 2A_{ij} \left(v_i^2 - v_j^2 \right) = 2 \sum_{i \in [n]} \sum_{j \in [i+1,n]} A_{ij} \left(v_k^2 - v_{k+1}^2 \right).$$

We now estimate the sum above. For every edge $\{i, j\}$, for every $k \in [i, j)$, the term $(v_k^2 - v_{k+1}^2)$ appears once with a weight 2/d. Since $v_k = 0$ for $k \ge n/2$, this means that the above sum is

$$\frac{2}{d} \sum_{k \in [n/2]} |E([k], [k+1, n])| \ \left(v_k^2 - v_{k+1}^2\right) \ge \frac{2}{d} \sum_{k \in [n/2]} \rho dk \left(v_k^2 - v_{k+1}^2\right).$$

We have, using the fact that $v_i = 0$ for all $i \ge n/2$,

$$\sum_{k \in [n/2]} k \left(v_k^2 - v_{k+1}^2 \right) = v_1^2 - v_2^2 + 2v_2^2 - 2v_3^2 + \dots + (n-1)v_{n-1}^2 - (n-1)v_n^2 = \|v\|^2$$

Hence

$$Z \ge \frac{2}{d} d\rho \, \|v\|^2 \,,$$

establishing the lower bound for Z.

 $\begin{aligned} &Proof. We now show the upper bound <math>Z \leq \sqrt{8(1-\lambda)} \|v\|^2. \text{ Since } u = v+w \\ \text{as stated before, we have } \langle v, w \rangle = 0. \text{ Further, } Au = \lambda u. \text{ Thus, we have} \\ &\langle Av, v \rangle + \langle Aw, v \rangle = \langle A(w+v), v \rangle = \langle Au, v \rangle = \langle \lambda u, v \rangle = \langle \lambda(v+w), w \rangle = \lambda \langle v, w \rangle = \lambda \|v\|^2. \end{aligned}$ Since $\langle Aw, v \rangle$ is not positive, we have that $\frac{\langle Av, v \rangle}{\|v\|^2} \geq \lambda.$ Hence, $1 - \lambda \geq 1 - \frac{\langle Av, v \rangle}{\|v\|^2} = \frac{\|v\|^2 - \langle Av, v \rangle}{\|v\|^2} = \frac{\sum_{i,j} A_{ij} (v_i - v_j)^2}{2\|v\|^2}. \end{aligned}$ Multiplying the numerator and the denominator with the term $\sum_{i,j} A_{ij} (v_i + v_j)^2, \text{ we get} = \frac{\left(\sum_{i,j} A_{ij} (v_i - v_j) (v_i + v_j)\right)^2}{2\|v\|^2 \left(\sum_{i,j} A_{ij} (v_i + v_j)^2\right)} \geq \frac{\left(\sum_{i,j} A_{ij} (v_i - v_j) (v_i + v_j)\right)^2}{2\|v\|^2 \left(\sum_{i,j} A_{ij} (v_i + v_j)^2\right)} \end{aligned}$ using the Cauchy Schwarz inequality. Hence, $\left(\sum_{i,j} A_{ij} (v_i + v_j)^2 - v^2\right)^2$

$$1 - \lambda \ge \frac{\left(\sum_{i,j} A_{ij} \left(v_i^2 - v_j^2\right)\right)^2}{2\|v\|^2 \left(\sum_{i,j} A_{ij} \left(v_i + v_j\right)^2\right)} = \frac{Z^2}{2\|v\|^2 2 \left(\|v\|^2 + \langle Av, v \rangle\right)} \ge \frac{Z^2}{8\|v\|^2},$$

establishing the upper bound.

This completes the proof.

2.6 Error reduction using expanders

One way of reducing the probability of error in a probabilistic algorithm for a decision problem is to execute it k times independently, and taking the majority output. By Chernoff bounds, it is possible to show that if the probability of error of one execution is at most 1/3, then the probability of the *majority* of k executions being wrong is $2^{-\Omega(k)}$. If one execution of the algorithm uses m random coins, then the multiple executions take mkrandom coins.

Using expanders, we can reduce the number of random coins to m + O(k) random coins.

The idea is as follows. Let G be a $(2^m, d, 1/10)$ -graph from a strongly explicit expander graph family.¹ Note especially that the number of vertices

¹This means $\lambda \leq 1/10$, using the algebraic definition.

in the graph G is equal to the total number of possible random coins used by the algorithm. Then, we do as follows:

let v_1 at random For i=2 to k do: From the *d* neighbors of v_{i-1} , choose a vertex v_i at random. [Requires $O(\log d)$ random bits] Run the algorithm with the random coins being v_1, \ldots, v_m and output the majority

Algorithm 1: Error reduction using a random walk on an expander

We now analyze the probability of error of the above algorithm. Assume that we have an algorithm which makes one-sided errors: for strings not in the language, the original algorithm always says no, and for strings in the language, the algorithm says "yes" with probability 2/3.

In the following theorem, setting $\beta = 1/3$ and $\lambda = 1/10$ implies that the probability that the above algorithm will reject an input in the language is bounded by $2^{-\Omega(k)}$.

Theorem 16. (Expander walks)

Let G be an (n, d, λ) expander. Let $B \subseteq [n]$ have at most βn vertices, where $\beta \in (0, 1)$. Let $X_1, \ldots, X_k \in [n]$ be random variables denoting a k-1step random walk in G starting from X_1 , with X_1 being uniformly chosen from [n]. Then,

$$Pr\left[\wedge_{i=1}^{k} (X_i \in B)\right] \leq \left((1-\lambda)\sqrt{\beta} + \lambda\right)^{k-1}.$$

To get some intuition about what the theorem says, think of B being a "bad set" which we want to escape. If B is very large, *i.e.* $\beta \approx 1$, then the right-side expression is approximately 1, so the above bound is useless - so the bad set cannot be very large. If, on the other hand, the bad set is, say, 0.1n, then the probability that all the randomly chosen vertices are in the bad set slowly decays with k - the rate of decay is not as fast as λ^{k-1} , but it is still some γ^{k-1} , where $\gamma = (1 - \lambda)\sqrt{\beta} + \lambda < 1$.

Proof. For $1 \leq i \leq k$, let B_i denote the event $X_i \in B$.

Let $T : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be defined by $(Tu)_i = u_i$ if $i \in B$ and 0 otherwise. Then this transformation "zeroes out" coordinates not in B. It is easy to verify that for every probability vector p over [n], we have Tp is a vector whose co-ordinates sum to the probability (according to p) of chosing a vertex in B. If we normalize so that the sum of coordinates of Tp is 1, then we obtain the conditional probability distribution of p conditioned on the event of chosing a vertex in B. Hence, let $\mathbf{1} = (\frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{1}{n})$ be the uniform distribution, and let p^i be the conditional distribution of X conditioned on the events B_1, \dots, B_n . Then

$$p^{1} = \frac{1}{Pr[B_{1}]}T\mathbf{1}$$

$$p^{2} = \frac{1}{Pr[B_{2}|B_{1}]Pr[B_{1}]}TAT\mathbf{1}$$
...
$$p^{i} = \frac{1}{Pr[B_{i} \mid B_{1}, \dots, B_{i-1}]}(TA)^{i-1}T\mathbf{1}.$$

Since p is a probability vector, |p| = 1. Hence, $Pr\left[\wedge_{i=1}^{k} (X_i \in B)\right] = \left| (TA)^{k-1} T\mathbf{1} \right| \leq \sqrt{n} ||(TA)^{k-1} T\mathbf{1}||$, where the last inequality is due to the relation between L_1 and L_2 norms. It suffices now to show that

$$\sqrt{n} \| (TA)^{k-1} T \mathbf{1} \| \leq \left((1-\lambda) \sqrt{\beta} + \lambda \right).$$

We now assume the following fact, given as Lemma 21.4 in the book. Let A be a random-walk matrix of an (n, d, λ) -expander graph G. Let J be the matrix with all entries equal to 1/n. (This corresponds to the adjacency matrix of an *n*-clique with self-loops. Then

$$A = (1 - \lambda)J + \lambda C,$$

where $||C|| =: \max\{||Av_2|| : v_2 \perp \mathbf{1}\} \le 1.$

The use of this fact is as follows. For any probability vector p, we may view the probability vector Ap a convex combination of Jp (the uniform distribution) and Cp. This can be interpreted as Ap goes to Jp with probability $1 - \lambda$ and Cp with probability λ .

Let TA be written as $(1 - \lambda)TJ + \lambda TC$. Then

$$|TA|| = (1 - \lambda) ||TJ|| + \lambda ||TC||$$
$$= (1 - \lambda) \sqrt{\frac{\beta n}{n^2}} + \lambda ||TC||.$$

Since $||T|| \leq 1$, we have $||TC|| \leq 1$. Hence

$$||TA|| \le (1-\lambda)\sqrt{\beta} + \lambda,$$

whence

$$\left\| (TA)^{k-1}T\mathbf{1} \right\| \le \left((1-\lambda)\sqrt{\beta} + \lambda \right)^{k-1} \frac{\sqrt{\beta}}{\sqrt{n}},$$

as required.

3 Undirected graph reachability in non-deterministic logspace

This section contains the result by Reingold that the randomized logspace algorithm for s-t connectivity in undirected graphs, which relies on random walks, can be completely derandomized.

Theorem 17. (Reingold)

$UPATH \in L.$

We consider undirected graphs that have a lot of parallel edges. We can assume that we have 4-regular graphs, without loss of generality because of the following reason. If a vertex has degree at most 3, then we can add lar parallel self-loops. If a vertex v has degree greater d' than 3, then we can replace it with a cycle of d' vertices such that each of the edges incident on v now becomes incident on a unique vertex in the cycle. Since this is a local transformation, it can be performed in logspace.

It is easy to check connectivity in expander graphs. Indeed, Lemma 7 checking shows that the probability distribution of the k^{th} vertex in a random walk is connecapproximately $\frac{1}{n} \pm \sqrt{n} \lambda^k$. Then a random walk of length $k - O(\log n)$ from s will reach t with positive probability. Hence, If every connected component in G is an expander, then there is a number $k = O(\log n)$ such that every pair of connected vertices have a path of length < k between them.

Proof. Assume that the input graph G has degree d^{50} for some sufficiently large d so that there is an $(d^{50}, d/2, 0.01)$ -expander H. We can ensure this by sufficiently many self-loops if necessary. Since d^{50} is a constant independent of the number of vertices in G, we can store H in O(1) bits.

Let

$$G_0 = G$$

$$G_k = (G_{k-1} \otimes H)^{50}$$

where $(\widehat{\mathbf{R}})$ denotes the replacement product defined as follows.

Let R, R' be two graphs such that R has n vertices, and degree D and R' has D vertices and degree d'. The (balanced) replacement product of R and R', denoted $R \otimes R'$, is the nD-vertex, 2d'-degree graph defined as follows.

1. For every vertex u of R, the graph $R \otimes R'$ has a copy of R'.

2. If $\{u, v\}$ is an edge in R, then we place d' parallel edges between the i^{th} vertex in the copy of R' corresponding to u and the i^{th} vertex in the copy of R' corresponding to v.

Coming back to the construction, if G_{k-1} has N vertices and degree d^{50} , then G_{k-1} $\mathbb{R}H$ is a $d^{50}N$ -vertex graph with degree d, hence $(G_{k-1}\mathbb{R}H)^{50}$ is of G_k

degree and connectivity

makina graph requ-

tivity inexpanders

G, H and G_{kS}

replacement

product

a $d^{50}N$ -vertex graph with degree d. If two vertices were connected in G_{k-1} , then they are connected in G_k , and if they were disconnected in G_{k-1} , they remain disconnected in G_k .

components We show that $G_{10\log n}$ is an expander, and this is an easy instance of in G_k are good expanders

UPATH. Specifically, we can show that every connected component in G_k is an $(d^{50}n, d^{20}, 1-\epsilon)$ -expander, where $\epsilon = \min\left(\frac{1}{20}, \frac{1.5^k}{12n^2}\right)$. Set $k = \log n$. Then $G_{10 \log n}$ is an expander with expansion parameter

 $\leq 1 - \frac{1}{20}$. Hence to find whether s and t are connected, we enumerate over all paths in $G_{10\log n}$.

Now we argue that, given the input $G = G_0$, we can perform a single step of a random walk in G_k . That is, given a description of a vertex s in G_k , and an $i \in [d^{20}]$, we can compute the i^{th} neighbor of G_k .

indexing vertices

A vertex in G_{k-1} $\mathbb{R}H$ is represented by a pair $[u, v], u \in V(G_{k-1}), v \in V(G_{k-1})$ V(H), and the index of a neighbor is represented by a pair $[b, i], b \in [0, 1]$, $i \in [d/2]$. If b = 0, then the designated neighbor is [u, v'] where v' is the i^{th} neightor of u in H. Otherwise, [b, i] designates [u', v'] such that u' is the v^{th} neighbor of u in G_{k-1} and v' is the index of u as a neighbor of u' in G_{k-1} . This can be computed by a recursive algorithm, in logspace.