

Monad Laws

September 17, 2024

Outline

- 1 Law 1
- 2 Law 2
- 3 Law 3
- 4 Maybe Monad

Outline

1 Law 1

2 Law 2

3 Law 3

4 Maybe Monad

Law 1:

```
return x »= f = f x
```

This means that a monad containing x when passed to f , should return the same value as f applied to (unboxed) x .

Outline

1 Law 1

2 Law 2

3 Law 3

4 Maybe Monad

Law 2:

```
mx »= return = mx
```

This is the dual of the first law. This says that a "boxed" x when passed to `return` should evaluate to the "boxed" x itself.

One way to see this as follows: `return` unboxes x from x , and then evaluates to the boxed value x . This is in fact, `mx` itself.

Outline

1 Law 1

2 Law 2

3 Law 3

4 Maybe Monad

Law 3: associativity of bind

$$(mx \gg= f) \gg= g \quad = \quad mx \gg= (\lambda x \rightarrow f x \gg= g)$$

This is the associative law for bind. Note that any associative law involves only one operator.

We now verify these laws are satisfied by the Maybe monad

Outline

1 Law 1

2 Law 2

3 Law 3

4 Maybe Monad

Maybe monad: return and $\gg=$

```
instance Monad Maybe where  
  return x = Just x
```

```
mx >>= f = f x
```

Monadic Law 1 for Maybe

```
return x >>= f  
= (Just x) >>= f      [definition of return]  
= f x                 [definition of >>=]
```

which satisfies the Monadic Law 1

Monadic Law 2 for Maybe

```
(Just x) >>= return  
  = return x
```

[definition of >>=]

which satisfies the Monadic Law 2

Monadic Law 3 for Maybe

$$\begin{aligned}
 & ((\mathbf{Just} \ x) \gg= f) \gg= g \\
 & = (f \ x) \gg= g && [\text{definition of } \gg=] \\
 & = (\mathbf{Just} \ y) \gg= g && [\text{assuming } (\mathbf{Just} \ y) = f \ x] \\
 & = g \ y && [\text{definition of } \gg=]
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (\mathbf{Just} \ x) \gg= (\lambda a \rightarrow f \ a \ \gg= g) \\
 & = (f \ x) \gg= g && [\text{definition of } \gg=] \\
 & = (\mathbf{Just} \ y) \gg= g && [\text{assuming } (\mathbf{Just} \ y) = f \ x] \\
 & = g \ y
 \end{aligned}$$

This satisfies the Monadic Law 3.

Monadic Law 3 for Maybe

$$\begin{aligned}
 & ((\mathbf{Just} \ x) \gg= f) \gg= g \\
 & = (f \ x) \gg= g && [\text{definition of } \gg=] \\
 & = (\mathbf{Just} \ y) \gg= g && [\text{assuming } (\mathbf{Just} \ y) = f \ x] \\
 & = g \ y && [\text{definition of } \gg=]
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (\mathbf{Just} \ x) \gg= (\lambda a \rightarrow f \ a \ \gg= g) \\
 & = (f \ x) \gg= g && [\text{definition of } \gg=] \\
 & = (\mathbf{Just} \ y) \gg= g && [\text{assuming } (\mathbf{Just} \ y) = f \ x] \\
 & = g \ y
 \end{aligned}$$

This satisfies the Monadic Law 3.

Monadic Law 3 for Maybe

$$\begin{aligned}
 & ((\mathbf{Just} \ x) \gg= f) \gg= g \\
 &= (f \ x) \gg= g && [\text{definition of } \gg=] \\
 &= (\mathbf{Just} \ y) \gg= g && [\text{assuming } (\mathbf{Just} \ y) = f \ x] \\
 &= g \ y && [\text{definition of } \gg=]
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (\mathbf{Just} \ x) \gg= (\lambda a \rightarrow f \ a \ \gg= g) \\
 &= (f \ x) \gg= g && [\text{definition of } \gg=] \\
 &= (\mathbf{Just} \ y) \gg= g && [\text{assuming } (\mathbf{Just} \ y) = f \ x] \\
 &= g \ y
 \end{aligned}$$

This satisfies the Monadic Law 3.

(the derivation can be shortened, of course.)