The rank & file of circuits

Nitin Saxena

Department of CSE Indian Institute of Technology Kanpur

**all pictures are works of others.

UPINC Paris, 2014

- Arithmetic circuits
- Hitting-set, blackbox PIT
- P≠NP wish & flip
- Rank -- Depth-3 circuits
- Rank -- Depth-4 circuits
- Rank concentration
- At the end …

Arithmetic Circuits

- Directed rooted graph, gates, wires, leaves.
 Depth
- Size: wires + constants.
- Formula: when fanout is bounded by 1.
- Compare Turing Machines:
- * Size is like *sequential* time.
- ➡ Depth is like parallel time.



Studies computation more *algebraically* than Turing machines.

Arithmetic Circuits

• $C(x_1, ..., x_n)$ usually has *exponentially* many monomials.

- Due to the *multiplication* gates.
- For size s, there can be s^s monomials.
- The degree can also be exponential.
 - Due to repeated-squaring in a circuit.
 - ➡ Eg. x^(2^s)
 - Not possible in a formula.
- Conversely, (Valiant, Skyum, Berkowitz & Racko 1983) showed that a circuit of degree d can be shrunk to depth log d.
- Our interest is in *log*-depth circuits.
 - constant-depth formulas are hard enough!

- Arithmetic circuits
- Hitting-set, blackbox PIT
- P≠NP wish & flip
- Rank -- Depth-3 circuits
- Rank -- Depth-4 circuits
- Rank concentration
- At the end …

Hitting-set, Blackbox PIT

- Polynomial Identity Testing (PIT) is the problem of testing whether a given circuit C(x₁,..., x_n), over F, is zero.
- We know several identities …
 - $(x+y)(x-y) x^2 + y^2 = 0.$
 - → Euler's four-square: $(a^2+b^2+c^2+d^2)(A^2+B^2+C^2+D^2) (aA+bB+cC+dD)^2 (aB-bA+cD-dC)^2 (aC-bD-cA+dB)^2 (aD-dA+bC-cB)^2 = 0.$
- PIT: Is there a test better than brute-force?
 - Brute-force is exponential as a circuit can have so many monomials.
- Randomization gives a simple answer.
 - Evaluate $C(x_1, ..., x_n)$ at a random point in F^n .
 - → (Ore 1922), (DeMillo & Lipton 1978), (Zippel 1979), (Schwartz 1980).
- PIT has a randomized polynomial time algorithm.



Euler 1707-1783



Ore 1899-1968

Hitting-set, Blackbox PIT

- The randomized algorithm needs only a *degree* bound, in turn, only a circuit-size bound.
 - No need to look inside the circuit.
 - Blackbox PIT: Don't see the circuit, only evaluation allowed.
- Per size bound, we ask for an *efficient* hitting-set.
 - A small subset H⊂Fⁿ that contains a non-root of each nonzero C(x₁,..., x_n).
- For size bound s, the hitting-set has to be $\Omega(s)$ in size.
- Potentially, circuit C(x) can have $\Omega(s^s)$ roots.
 - Still we believe a hitting-set of size poly(s) is constructible!

Hitting-set, Blackbox PIT

- Question of interest: Design hitting-sets for circuits.
- Appears in numerous guises in computation:
- Complexity results
 - Interactive protocol (Babai,Lund,Fortnow,Karloff,Nisan,Shamir 1990), PCP theorem (Arora,Safra,Lund,Motwani,Sudan,Szegedy 1998), ...
- Algorithms

 Graph matching in parallel, matrix completion (Lovász 1979), equivalence of branching programs (Blum, et al 1980), interpolation (Clausen, et al 1991), primality (Agrawal,Kayal,S. 2002), learning (Klivans, Shpilka 2006), polynomial solvability (Kopparty, Yekhanin 2008), factoring (Shpilka, Volkovich 2010), ...

- Arithmetic circuits
- Hitting-set, blackbox PIT
- <u>P≠NP wish & flip</u>
- Rank -- Depth-3 circuits
- Rank -- Depth-4 circuits
- Rank concentration
- At the end …

P≠NP Wish & Flip

- Almost everyone wishes P≠NP (few venture a proof!).
 - Is there a problem whose solutions are easy to certify, but hard to discover?
- How about counting the #certificates?
 - ✓ VP≠VNP?
 - Or, permanent vs. determinant?



Valiant 1949-

- "proving permanent hardness" flips to "designing hitting-sets".
 - Almost, (Heintz,Schnorr 1980), (Kabanets,Impagliazzo 2004),
 (Agrawal 2005 2006), (Dvir,Shpilka,Yehudayoff 2009), (Koiran 2011) ...
- Designing an efficient algorithm is less intimidating than proving one doesn't exist!

P≠NP Wish & Flip

- Moreover, (Agrawal, Vinay 2008) showed: It suffices to consider depth-4 circuits.
 - → $C(x_1,...,x_n) = \sum_i \prod_j f_{ij}$, where f_{ij} are *explicitly* given polynomials in the variables $x_1,...,x_n$.
- (Gupta,Kamath,Kayal,Saptharishi 2013) showed: It suffices to consider depth-3 circuits.
- A reasonable plan, then, is
 - Short term: Study depth-3 well enough; design a hitting-set.
 - Long term: VP≠VNP.

11



f_{ij} are linear





Vinay 196?-

- Arithmetic circuits
- Hitting-set, Blackbox PIT
- P≠NP wish & flip
- Rank -- Depth-3 circuits
- Rank -- Depth-4 circuits
- Rank concentration
- At the end …

Consider a depth-3 circuit C:

- → $C(x_1,...,x_n) = \sum_{i \in [k]} \prod_{j \in [d]} f_{ij}$, where f_{ij} are *linear* polynomials in $F[x_1,...,x_n]$.
- The rank of C is $rk(C) := rk_F\{f_{ij} \mid i \in [k], j \in [d]\}$.
 - → (Dvir,Shpilka 2005) showed the *first* rank bound r when C=0.
 - ★ (Karnin,Shpilka 2008) gave a hitting-set in time nd^{r(k,d)}.
 - (S.,Seshadhri 2009) showed $r(k,d) < k^3 \log d$.
 - ★ (Kayal, Saraf 2009) showed $r(k,d) < k^k$ over Q.
 - (S.,Seshadhri 2010) improved to k^2 over Q (& $k^2 \log d$ any field).
- (S.,Seshadhri 2011) gave a nd^k time hitting-set over any field.
 - Indirect use of rank.

- Ingredients of the proofs are –
- Found higher Sylvester-Gallai theorems (over any field).
 - If any two points in S Rⁿ have a *collinear* third point, then S is a *line*!
 - Generalized both to hyperplanes & to other fields.
- Found ideal Chinese remaindering.
 - If coprime f & g divide h, then fg divides h.
 - Generalized to *ideals* generated by *products* of *linear* forms.
- We show that a depth-3 identity contains a k-dimensional Sylvester-Gallai configuration.



Sylvester 1814-1897



Gallai 1912-1992

- Finally, Vandermonde matrix is employed.
- We define $\Psi_t : x_i \to t^{i.1}y_1 + \ldots + t^{i.k}y_k$, for all $i=1,\ldots,n$.

Vandermonde 1735-1796

- We show that Ψ_t reduces the variables of C from n to k, and preserves non-zeroness!
- This yields a nd^k time hitting-set.



- Arithmetic circuits
- Hitting-set, Blackbox PIT
- P≠NP wish & flip
- Rank -- Depth-3 circuits
- Rank -- Depth-4 circuits
- Rank concentration
- At the end …

Consider a depth-4 circuit C:

- $C(x_1,...,x_n) = \sum_{i \in [k]} \prod_{j \in [d]} f_{ij}$, where f_{ij} are sparse polynomials in $F[x_1,...,x_n]$.
- The rank of C is $rk(C) := trdeg_F\{f_{ij} \mid i \in [k], j \in [d]\}$.
 - The transcendence degree is the maximum number of algebraically independent polynomials.
 - → $\{g_1,...,g_m\}$ are called algebraically independent if there is no non-zero polynomial A \in F[y₁,...,y_m] such that A(g₁,...,g_m)=0.
 - Eg, trdeg_F{ x_1 , x_2 , $x_1^3 + x_2^2$ } = 2 ...
 - ... with annihilating polynomial A := $(y_1^3+y_2^2-y_3)$.
- This generalizes the notion of linear independence to higher degree.

- Beecken,Mittmann,S. 2011) showed: For rank bound r, there is a hitting-set in time |C|^r.
- Ingredients of the proof are –
- Theorem (Jacobi 1841; Beecken,Mittmann,S. 2011): If ch(F)=0or $>d^r$, then $rk J_x(g_1,...,g_m) = trdeg\{g_1,...,g_m\}$.
 - → Jacobian $J_x(g_1,...,g_m)$ is the m x n matrix $(\partial_j g_i)_{i,j}$.



Jacobi 1804-1851

- A Vandermonde-based map reduces the variables from n to r.
 - Preserves rk(C): By studying the map's action on the Jacobian.
 - → Preserves $C \neq 0$: By Krull's Hauptidealsatz.
- rk(C) is like the minimum number of variables needed to describe the 'essence' of C.



Krull 1899-1971

- (Agrawal,Saha,Saptharishi,S. 2012) used Jacobian to explain all known *poly-time* hitting-sets, and more ...
 - Hitting-sets for new models, eg constant-depth constant-read multilinear formulas, were found.
 - Permanent lower bounds for *certain* depth-4 circuits.
- These methods use Jacobian as a tool,
 - without actually proving a rank bound for depth-4 identities.

- Jacobian is not known to work for small ch(F) =: p > 0.
 - Issue: $\partial x^p = px^{p-1} = 0$.
- Scheiblechner, Mittmann, S. 2012) used the de Rham-Witt complex to devise a Witt-Jacobian criterion.
 - A p-adic lift of the polynomials is employed.
 - This subtlety is studied in p-adic cohomology theory.
- Byproduct: This gives the first improvement on algebraic-independence testing (over small characteristic).
 - PSPACE upper bound improved to NP^{#P}.



De Rham 1903-1990



- Arithmetic circuits
- Hitting-set, Blackbox PIT
- P≠NP wish & flip
- Rank -- Depth-3 circuits
- Rank -- Depth-4 circuits
- Rank concentration
- At the end …

Rank Concentration

Consider a depth-4 circuit C:

- $C(x_1,...,x_n) = \sum_{i \in [k]} \prod_{j \in [d]} f_{ij}$, where f_{ij} are sparse polynomials in $F[x_1,...,x_n]$.
- Let H_k(F) be a Hadamard algebra.
 - It is the ring $(F^k, +, *)$,
 - where, * is the coordinate-wise product.
- Rewrite C as $\mathbf{1}^{T}$. { $f_1(x_1,...,x_n) * ... * f_d(x_1,...,x_n)$ },
 - → where, $f_j(x_1,...,x_n) \in H_k(F)[x_1,...,x_n]$ are again *sparse*.
 - → Define D := $f_1(x_1,...,x_n)^*...^*f_d(x_1,...,x_n) \in H_k(F)[x_1,...,x_n].$
- Study the *rank* of the *coefficients* in D ...



Hadamard 1865-1963

Rank Concentration

• Let l:=log k. Focus on the *l*-support monomials M_l of D.

- Monomials $x_1^{e_1}...x_n^{e_n}$, with nonzero e's less than 1.
- Their coefficients, in D, are F^k vectors. What's their rank?
- Eg. when $D := x_1^* ...^* x_{l+1}$ it is zero.
- Conjecture: ∃ efficient shift after which Coefficients of M₁ in D have the same rank as all the coefficients in D.
 - Rank concentration in low support!
- Thus, it suffices to consider only low support evaluations.
 - → \forall S⊆[n] of size l, keep x_S free and set the rest to zero.
 - Yields a hitting-set in time $n^{l} = n^{\log k}$. Quasi-polynomial time!

Rank Concentration

- (Agrawal,Saha,S. 2013) proved low support rank concentration for several interesting circuits.
 - Set-multilinear depth-3 circuits (Nisan,Wigderson 1996; Raz,Shpilka 2005). No sub-exponential hitting-set was known.
 - $C(x_1,...,x_n) = \sum_{i \in [k]} \prod_{j \in [d]} f_{ij}(X_j)$, where X_j 's are disjoint variables.
- Proof ingredient: Specialized matrix tools to study shifted circuits over Hadamard algebras.
- (Forbes,Saptharishi,Shpilka 2014; Agrawal,Gurjar,Korwar,S 2014; Gurjar,Korwar,S, Thierauf 2014) have expanded rank concentration to many models.
- Quasi-poly-time hitting-set for sum of constantly many ROABPs.

At the end ...

- We saw a number of natural notions of circuit rank.
 - We are yet to see the last word!
- Rank concentration conjecture for depth-3 looks hopeful.
- Need to improve the notion to get a poly-time hitting-set.
- That should push us over the $VP \neq VNP$ cliff!

