

ASSIGNMENT 2

POINTS: 50

DATE GIVEN: 23-AUG-2024

DUE: 13-SEP-2024

Rules:

- You are strongly encouraged to work *independently*. That is the best way to understand & master the subject.
- Write the solutions on your own and honorably *acknowledge* the sources if any. <http://cse.iitk.ac.in/pages/AntiCheatingPolicy.html>
- Submit your solutions, before time, to your Tutor. Preferably, give the Tutor a printed copy of your LaTeXed or Word processed solution sheet.
- Clearly express the fundamental *idea* of your proof/ algorithm before going into the other proof details. The distribution of partial marks is according to the proof steps.
- There will be a penalty if you write unnecessary or unrelated details in your solution. Also, do not repeat the proofs done in the class.
- Problems marked '0 points' are for practice.

Question 1: [7 points] Recall the proof of $O(\log d)$ -depth reduction, of size- s degree- d circuits, done in the class. Fill in the details showing that it actually gives a $\text{poly}(sd)$ -time randomized algorithm to reduce depth of a given circuit.

Question 2: [5+5 points] Given a circuit C of size s and depth d , show that the circuit D computing all the first-order partial derivatives of C has depth $O(d)$, and size $O(s)$. [The latter was covered in the class.]

What is the best that you can say if one wanted to compute δ -order partial derivatives of C , for a given $\delta > 1$?

Question 3: [13 points] Recall the proof of “ $\text{det} \in \text{VP}$ ” that we saw, in the class, using Newton’s identities. It was claimed that a linear system of n equations, that corresponds to a symbolic *triangular* matrix, can

be solved by $O(\log n)$ -depth $\text{poly}(n)$ -size arithmetic circuit. Prove this claim.

Question 4: [7 points] Show that, over an integral domain R , the matrix $(\alpha_i^j)_{i,j \in [n]}$ is full-rank iff α_i 's are distinct in R .

Question 5: [9+4 points] Over a field \mathbb{F} , show that the monomial $x_1 \dots x_n$ has a $\Sigma \wedge \Sigma$ expression iff $n! \neq 0$ in \mathbb{F} .

Question 6: [0 points] Recall the proof of $O(\log d)$ -depth reduction, of size- s degree- d circuits, done in the class. Could the final size bound be made $\text{poly}(s \log d)$ in place of $\text{poly}(sd)$?

Question 7: [0 points] Consider a matrix $A \in R^{n \times n}$, where R is a possibly *non-commutative* ring. How will you define the determinant polynomial of A ?

How fast can you compute it?

Question 8: [0 points] What is the smallest depth-3 circuit computing the $n \times n$ symbolic determinant? permanent?

Question 9: [0 points] Read about the 2021 breakthrough that proved exponential lower bounds for depth-3 circuits. What does it tell about determinant and permanent?

Question 10: [0 points] When we efficiently transform a formula to a width-3 (resp. constant-width) ABP $A_1 \dots A_d$, can we ensure that the matrix product is *commutative*?

Question 11: [0 points] Over \mathbb{F}_2 , can we efficiently transform a formula to a width-2 (resp. width-3) ABP? What if we allow the *approximative* computational analogues?

□□□