

Degree-restricted depth-4

- Recall that a depth-4 circuit of the type $\Sigma \Pi^a \Sigma \Pi^b$ has the form

$$f = \sum_{i \in [s]} Q_{i1} \cdots Q_{ia} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n],$$

where $\deg(Q_{ij}) \leq b$.

- We know that a size- s deg- d f has a $\Sigma \Pi^{O(\sqrt{d})} \Sigma \Pi^{\sqrt{d}}$ circuit of size $s^{O(\sqrt{d})}$.

$\omega(\cdot)$ is small omega

Conversely, if f requires $s^{\omega(\sqrt{d})}$ size $\Sigma \Pi^{O(\sqrt{d})} \Sigma \Pi^{\sqrt{d}}$ circuits then it requires $s^{\omega(1)}$ size arbitrary circuits.

- To study this model (Kayal '12) modified the partial derivative based measures.

Definition: Let $\partial^k(f)$ be the set of order- k partial derivatives of f & $x^{\leq l}$ be the monomials of $\deg \leq l$.

The shifted partials of f , denoted

by $\langle \partial^k f \rangle_{\leq \ell}$, is the \mathbb{F} -vector space spanned by $\{x^{\bar{e}} \cdot \partial_{\bar{a}} f \mid |\bar{e}| \leq \ell, |\bar{a}| = k\}$.
 The dimension of shifted partials is denoted by $T_{k,\ell}(f)$.

- The matrix, w.r.t f , whose rank we are interested in is:

$$x^{\bar{\alpha}} \cdot \partial_{\bar{\beta}} \left(\dots \text{coef}(m)(x^{\bar{\alpha}} \cdot \partial_{\bar{\beta}} f) \right) \left. \vphantom{\begin{matrix} x^{\bar{\alpha}} \cdot \partial_{\bar{\beta}} \\ \dots \text{coef}(m)(x^{\bar{\alpha}} \cdot \partial_{\bar{\beta}} f) \end{matrix}} \right\} x^{\bar{\alpha}} \leq \ell, |\bar{\beta}| = k$$

$\underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_{n\text{-var. monomials of deg} \leq \ell + d - k}$

▷ Clearly, $T_{k,\ell}$ is sub-additive.

Pf: Derivation is an \mathbb{F} -linear operation. \square

Lemma 1: Let f be an n -variate computed by a $\Sigma^b \Pi^a \Sigma \Pi^b$ circuit. Then,

$$T_{k,\ell}(f) \leq s \cdot \binom{a+k}{k} \cdot \binom{n+(b-1)k+\ell}{n}$$

Proof:

• By subadditivity, it suffices to

Consider a product gate $f = Q_1 \cdots Q_a$
with $\deg Q_i \leq b$.

• For a $\bar{\beta}$, $|\bar{\beta}| = k$, $\partial_{\bar{\beta}} := \partial_{x^{\bar{\beta}}}(Q_1 \cdots Q_a)$
can be expanded using the product
rule of derivation.

• The number of summands there is $\leq \binom{a+k}{k}$.

in this summand
we can ignore
 $Q_{k+1} \cdots Q_a$

• Now, by subadditivity, we reduce
to cases of the type: $\partial_1 Q_1 \cdots \partial_k Q_k$.

\Rightarrow after monomial multiplication we have
products like $x^{\vec{\alpha}} \cdot \prod_{i \in [k]} \partial_i Q_i$, $|\vec{\alpha}| \leq \ell$.

• The number of monomials here is \leq
 $\binom{n+\deg}{n} \leq \binom{n+(b-1)k+\ell}{n}$.

$$\Rightarrow T_{k,\ell}(f) \leq \binom{a+k}{k} \cdot \binom{n+(b-1)k+\ell}{n}$$

compare
this with \rightarrow
 $\binom{n+k}{k} \cdot \binom{n+\ell}{\ell}$

wrt RHS \rightarrow

□

- Thus, we want an f with a "large" $T_{k,\ell}(f)$
for some parameters k & ℓ .

- We will now lower bound $T_{k,l}$ for \det_n (& similarly per_n).

Lemma 2: [Gupta, Kamath, Kayal, Saptharishi '14]:

$$T_{k,l}(\det_n) \geq \binom{n+k}{2k} \cdot \binom{n^2-2k+l}{l}.$$

Proof:

- Say \det_n has variables x_{ij} , $i, j \in [n]$.
- Let us fix a monomial ordering as:
 $x_{11} > x_{12} > \dots > x_{1n} > \dots > x_{n1} > \dots > x_{nn}$.

• Under this ordering we want to estimate the number of leading monomials in the polynomials in the set

$$\{ x^{\vec{\alpha}} \cdot \partial_{\vec{\beta}} \det_n \mid |\vec{\alpha}| \leq l, |\vec{\beta}| = k \}.$$

• Clearly, that estimate is a lower bound on $T_{k,l}(\det_n)$.

• Note that $\partial_{\vec{\beta}} \det_n$ is either zero or

an $(n-k)$ -minor of \det_n .

The leading monomial of this minor is merely the product of the variables in its principal diagonal.

leading monomial

nonzero

$$\Rightarrow \text{LM}(\partial_{\beta} \det_n) = x_{i_1 j_1} \cdots x_{i_{n-k} j_{n-k}}$$

where $i_1 < \cdots < i_{n-k}$ & $j_1 < \cdots < j_{n-k}$.

• Let us call such indices an $(n-k)$ -increasing sequence in $[n] \times [n]$.

▷ They are in bijection with $(n-k)$ -minors.

$$\Rightarrow \Gamma_{k, \ell}(\det_n) \geq \# \text{ monomials of deg. } (n+\ell-k) \text{ that contain an } (n-k)\text{-increasing seq.}$$

• To lower bound RHS we consider:

Defn: Let $\underline{D}_2 := \{x_{11}, x_{22}, \dots, x_{nn}\} \cup \{x_{12}, x_{23}, \dots, x_{n-1, n}\}$ be the diagonal & the one above.
For monomial m define its