

Monotone Circuits

- A boolean circuit is monotone if it contains only AND/OR gates (no NOT gate!)
- A monotone circuit can compute only monotone functions.

Defn: • For $x, y \in \{0, 1\}^n$ we define $x \leq y$ if $\forall i \in [n]$,
 $x_i \leq y_i$.

• A function $f: \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ is monotone if $\forall x \leq y$,
 $f(x) \leq f(y)$.

▷ Monotone function $f \iff \exists$ monotone circuit.

- Consider a hard monotone function:

$$\text{Clique}_{k,n} : \{0,1\}^{\binom{n}{2}} \rightarrow \{0,1\}$$

that on a graph G is

1 iff G has a k -clique (complete graph on k vertices).

▷ $\text{Clique}_{k,n}$ is a monotone function.

Qn: $\exists?$ $\text{poly}(n)$ -size monotone circuit for $\text{Clique}_{k,n}$?

[OPEN: for circuits & algorithms.]

Theorem (Razborov '85): $\forall k \leq n^{1/4}$, \nexists monotone circuits of size $\leq n^{\sqrt{k}/20}$ computing $\text{Clique}_{k,n}$.

(Exp. lower bound) \nearrow

- Idea: Using the probabilistic method, we'll show that any monotone circuit, computing Clique, can be approximated by an OR of few clique indicators.

Defn: For $\emptyset \neq S \subseteq [n]$, let $C_S: \{0,1\}^{\binom{n}{2}} \rightarrow \{0,1\}$ be defined 1 on G iff S is a clique in G .

• C_S is a clique-indicator of S .

• $C_\emptyset := 1$.

$$\triangleright \text{Clique}_{k,n} = \bigvee_{S \in \binom{[n]}{k}} C_S$$

- First, we show a lower bound on number of S needed.

— Define two simple input distributions on n -vertex graphs.

Yes-instances \rightarrow $Y :=$ on random $K \in \binom{[n]}{k}$ output a clique on K & no other edges.
with unique k -clique

No-instances \rightarrow $N :=$ on random $c: [n] \rightarrow [k-1]$ output the graph: (u, v) is edge iff $c(u) \neq c(v)$.
 $(k-1)$ -partite graph

\triangleright $\text{Clique}_{k,n} = 1$ on Y & 0 on N .
(But, $\text{Clique}_{k-1,n} = 1$ on N !)

Lemma 1 (Clique hard): If $k \leq n^{1/4}$ & $S \in \binom{[n]}{k}$ then,

either $\Pr_{G \in \mathcal{N}} [C_S(G) = 0] < 0.01$

or $\Pr_{G \in \mathcal{G}} [C_S(G) = 1] < n^{-\sqrt{k}/20}$.

} \Rightarrow Success $< 1\%$

Pf: • Denote $\ell := \sqrt{k-1}/10$.

Case-1: $|S| \leq \ell$ A random $c: S \rightarrow [k-1]$ is one-one with probability $\geq 1 \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{k-1}\right) \cdot \left(1 - \frac{2}{k-1}\right) \cdots \left(1 - \frac{\ell-1}{k-1}\right)$

$$\geq 1 - \frac{1+2+\dots+\ell-1}{k-1} > 1 - \frac{\ell^2}{k-1} = 0.99.$$

\Rightarrow vertices S in $G \in \mathcal{N}$ form a clique $\Rightarrow C_S(G) = 1$ on \mathcal{N} whp.

$$\Rightarrow \Pr_{G \in \mathcal{N}} [C_S(G) = 1] > 0.99.$$

Case-2 $[|S| > \ell]$: The prob. of S being a clique in $G \in \mathcal{Y}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr_{G \in \mathcal{Y}} [C_S(G) = 1] &= \Pr_{K \in \binom{[n]}{k}} [S \subseteq K] = \frac{\binom{n-|S|}{k-|S|}}{\binom{n}{k}} \leq \frac{\binom{n-\ell}{k-\ell}}{\binom{n}{k}} \\ &\leq \frac{\binom{n}{k-\ell}}{\binom{n}{k}} = \frac{(k-\ell+1) \cdots k}{(n-k+1) \cdots (n-k+\ell)} < \frac{k^\ell}{(n/2)^\ell} = \left(\frac{2k}{n}\right)^\ell \\ &< n^{-0.7\ell} < n^{-\sqrt{k}/20}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

▷ Thus, OR of $m \leq n^{\sqrt{k}/20}$ clique-indicators cannot be $\text{Clique}_{k,n}$.

Pf: • Suppose $\text{Clique}_{k,n} = \bigvee_{i \in [m]} C_{S_i}$.

• If $\exists i, |S_i| \leq \ell$ ^(Case 1) $\Rightarrow C_{S_i}(G) = 1$ whp
 $\Rightarrow \text{Clique}_{k,n}(G) = 1$ whp, \downarrow .

• So, $\forall i, |S_i| > \ell$. ^(Case 2) $\Rightarrow \Pr_{G \in \mathcal{Y}} [C_{S_i}(G) = 0] > (1 - n^{-\sqrt{k}/20})$.

$\Rightarrow \Pr_{G \in \mathcal{Y}} [\text{Clique}_{k,n}(G) = 0] > (1 - n^{-\sqrt{k}/20})^m \geq (1 - \frac{1}{r})^r \geq 1/e$.

Where $r = n^{\sqrt{k}/20}$

$\Rightarrow \downarrow$

$\Rightarrow \text{Clique}_{k,n} \neq \bigvee_{i \in [m]} C_{S_i}$. \square

— Next, we show that a small monotone circuit can be approximated by OR of few clique-indicators. [on \mathcal{Y} & \mathcal{N} distributions.]

[easy wrt. clique problem & \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{N}]

Lemma 2 (Monotone Ckts): Let $k \leq n^{1/4}$ & C be a monotone circuit of size $s \leq n^{\sqrt{k}/20}$. Then, $\exists m \leq n^{\sqrt{k}/20}$, $S_1, \dots, S_m \subseteq [n]$ s.t.

$$\Pr_{G \in \mathcal{Y}} \left[\bigvee_{i \in [m]} C_{S_i}(G) \geq C(G) \right] > \underline{0.9}$$

$$\& \Pr_{G \in \mathcal{N}} \left[\text{„} \leq C(G) \right] > 0.9 .$$

Pf of Razborov's Thm: • If \exists monotone circuit C of size $\leq n^{\sqrt{k}/20}$ computing $\text{Clique}_{k,n}$, then by Lemma-2, $\exists S_1, \dots, S_m \subseteq [n]$ s.t. $\forall_i C_{S_i}(G)$ "mostly" agrees with $\text{Clique}_{k,n}(G)$ on $G \in \mathcal{Y} \cup \mathcal{A}$.

• But, by Lemma 1, the error has to be ≥ 0.99 .

\Rightarrow monotone C of size $\leq n^{\sqrt{k}/20}$ can't exist. \square

Pf. of Lemma 2: • Define $l := \sqrt{k}/10$; $m := (p-1)^l \cdot l!$;
 $p := 100l \cdot \ln n$. $\triangleright m \approx p^l \approx (\sqrt{k} \cdot \ln n)^{\sqrt{k}} \ll n^{\sqrt{k}/20}$.

• Think of the monotone circuit C as a sequence of monotone functions $f_1, \dots, f_s : \{0,1\}^{\binom{[n]}{2}} \rightarrow \{0,1\}$, where each f_i is an AND/OR of $\{f_{i'}, f_{i''}\}$ for $i', i'' < i$, or is an input variable $x_{u,v}$ for $u, v \in [n]$.

At the root: $C = f_s$.

• Goal: define functions $\tilde{f}_1, \dots, \tilde{f}_s$ approximating f_1, \dots, f_s resp. st. \tilde{f}_i is OR of $\leq m$ clique-indicators C_{S_1}, \dots, C_{S_m} ; $|S_i| \leq l$. (We call \tilde{f}_i an (m, l) -function.)

• We construct \tilde{f}_i 's by induction on depth/size.

For $f_i = f_i' \vee f_i''$ we'll construct $\tilde{f}_i =: \tilde{f}_i' \underline{\sqcup} \tilde{f}_i''$ (resp. $\tilde{f}_i' \underline{\sqcap} \tilde{f}_i''$ for $f_i' \wedge f_i''$).

• Operation $f \underline{\sqcup} g$ (for (m, ℓ) -fns f, g):

• Let $f = \bigvee_{i \in [m]} C_{S_i}$ & $g = \bigvee_{j \in [m]} C_{T_j}$.

• Consider $h := \bigvee_{i \in [2m]} C_{Z_i}$, where $Z_i := S_i$; $Z_{j+m} := T_j$ for $i, j \in [m]$.

▷ h is a $(2m, \ell)$ -fn., not (m, ℓ) -fn.!

▷ $h = f \vee g$.

- We need to approximate h by an (m, ℓ) -fn., using the following iterative process:
 - As long as there are $> m$ distinct sets, find p subsets Z_{i_1}, \dots, Z_{i_p} that form a sunflower, i.e. same mutual intersection, i.e. $\exists Z \subseteq [n], \forall j < j' \in [p], Z_{i_j} \cap Z_{i_{j'}} = Z$.
 - Replace the functions $c_{Z_{i_1}}, \dots, c_{Z_{i_p}}$ in h by c_Z .
 - Repeat this till we get an (m, ℓ) -function h' .

Define $f \sqcup g := h'$.

Qn: Does a sunflower exist? What's the error?

Sunflower Lemma (Erdős & Rado '60): Let \mathcal{Z} be a collection of distinct sets of size $\leq l$. If $|\mathcal{Z}| > (p-1) \cdot l!$ then $\exists \underline{Z_1, \dots, Z_p} \in \mathcal{Z}$ & set Z s.t. $\forall i < j \in [p], Z_i \cap Z_j = Z$. ← sunflower
 ($Z_i \subseteq U$ arbitrary & $p > 2$.)

$\triangleright \Pr_{G \in \mathcal{Y}} [(f \cup g)(G) < f(G) \vee g(G)] = 0$. ← no error on \mathcal{Y}

Pf: for any $Z \subseteq Z_i$, $C_{Z_i}(G) = 1 \Rightarrow C_Z(G) = 1$
 \Rightarrow if $f(G) \vee g(G) = 1$ then $(f \cup g)(G) = 1$. □

$\triangleright \Pr_{G \in \mathcal{N}} [(f \cup g)(G) > f(G) \vee g(G)] < 1/108$ ← small error on \mathcal{N}

Pf: • We may make an \mathcal{N} -instance true, if $C_Z(G) = 1$ but $C_{Z_i}(G) = 0, \forall i \in [p]$.

• Recall that $G \in \mathcal{N}$ is generated by a random $c: [n] \rightarrow [k-1]$ (add edge (u, v) iff $c(u) \neq c(v)$).

$\triangleright c$ is one-one on Z , but not on $Z_i, \forall i$.

• $\Pr_c [c \text{ one-one on } Z_i \mid c \text{ is one-one on } Z]$
 $= \left(1 - \frac{|Z|}{k-1}\right) \cdot \left(1 - \frac{|Z|+1}{k-1}\right) \cdots \left(1 - \frac{\ell-1}{k-1}\right) > 1 - \frac{\ell^2}{k-1} > \frac{1}{2}$.

• As $Z_1 \setminus Z, \dots, Z_p \setminus Z$ are mutually disjoint, so:
 $\Pr_c [\forall i \in [p], c \text{ is } \underline{\text{not}} \text{ one-one on } Z_i \mid c \text{ one-one on } Z] < (1/2)^p = n^{-10\sqrt{k}} < 1/10ms. \quad (m, s < n^{\sqrt{k}/20})$

∴ Sunflower-lemma is applied $< m$ times.

$\Rightarrow \Pr_{G \in \mathcal{N}} [(f \cup g)(G) \text{ is wrong}] < m \cdot \frac{1}{10ms} = \frac{1}{10s} \quad \square$

Operation $f \sqcap g$ (f, g are (m, ℓ) -fns.):

• AND corresponds to $h := (\bigvee C_{S_i}) \wedge (\bigvee C_{T_j}) = \bigvee_{\substack{i, j \\ \in [m]}} C_{S_i \cup T_j}$ on $G \in \mathcal{Y}$. (Exercise)

• Approximate h by (m, ℓ) -function as: $C_{S_i} \wedge C_{T_j}$

- (1) Drop those C_Z from h s.t. $|Z| > \ell$.
- (2) On the rest use repeatedly Sunflower-lemma.
- (3) Remaining function h' is $(f \cap g)$.

Qn: What's the error introduced?

$$\triangleright \Pr_{G \in \mathcal{Y}} [(f \cap g)(G) < f(G) \wedge g(G)] < 1/10 \quad \leftarrow \text{small error on } \mathcal{Y}$$

Pf:

- $f = \bigvee C_{S_i}$; $g = \bigvee C_{T_j} \Rightarrow f \wedge g \upharpoonright_{\mathcal{Y}} = \bigvee C_{S_i \cup T_j} =: h$
- Recall $G \in \mathcal{Y}$ corresponds to a $K \in \binom{[n]}{k}$.
- $(f \cap g)(K) = 0$, while $f(G) \wedge g(G) = 1 \Rightarrow$
 $\exists i, j, Z := S_i \cup T_j \subseteq K$ but $C_{S_i \cup T_j}$ was dropped & $|S_i \cup T_j| > \ell$.

• By Lemma-1, $\Pr_K [z \leq K] < n^{-0.7\epsilon} < 1/10sm^2$.

• We could drop $< m^2$ many such z 's from h .

$\Rightarrow \Pr_{G \in \mathcal{Y}} [(f \cap g)(G) \text{ is wrong}] < 1/10s. \quad \square$

$\triangleright h|_W < f \wedge g|_W$.

$\triangleright \Pr_{G \in \mathcal{W}} [(f \cap g)(G) > f(G) \wedge g(G)] < 1/10s.$

Pf: • $(f \cap g)(G) = 1$, while $f(G) \wedge g(G) = 0 \Rightarrow$

We replaced c_{z_1}, \dots, c_{z_p} by c_z st c is one-one on Z , but not one-one on Z_i , $\forall i. \Rightarrow \Pr$ same as in $f \cup g. \quad \square$

▷ We compute \cup, \cap ($< p$)-many times in C ,
 $\Rightarrow \Pr_{G \in \mathcal{Y}} [\tilde{f}_p(G) < c(G)] < 1/10$,

& $\Pr_{G \in \mathcal{N}} [\text{ " } > \text{ " }] < 1/10$.

\Rightarrow This finishes Lemma-2. \square

Sunflower Lemma: \mathcal{Z} is a collection of (sets of size $\leq t$). $|\mathcal{Z}| > (p-1)^t \cdot t!$ $\Rightarrow \exists Z_1, \dots, Z_p \in \mathcal{Z}$ forming a sunflower, i.e. $\exists Z, \forall i < j \in [p], Z_i \cap Z_j = Z$.

- Idea: Induct on t .

Proof: • For $l=1$: \mathcal{Z} has only singletons.
 \Rightarrow distinct subsets $Z_1, \dots, Z_p \in \mathcal{Z}$ form a sunflower.

• Let $l > 1$: Let \mathcal{M} be a maximal collection of mutually disjoint sets in \mathcal{Z} . If $|\mathcal{M}| \geq p$ then done!

• $|\mathcal{M}| < p$: $\Rightarrow |\cup \mathcal{M}| \leq (p-1) \cdot l$. ——— (1)

• Also, $\forall Z \in \mathcal{Z}, Z \cap (\cup \mathcal{M}) \neq \emptyset$. ——— (2)

$\Rightarrow \exists x \in \cup \mathcal{M}$ appearing in δ -many sets in \mathcal{Z} ,

say, $Z_1, \dots, Z_\delta \in \mathcal{Z}$, s.t. $\delta \geq |\mathcal{Z}| / (p-1)l$ [Eqs. 1 & 2]

$\triangleright \delta \geq (p-1)^l \cdot l! / (p-1)l = (p-1)^{l-1} \cdot (l-1)!$.

• Apply induction hypothesis on $\{Z_1 \setminus \{x\}, \dots, Z_\delta \setminus \{x\}\} =: \mathcal{Z}'$
 $\Rightarrow \exists$ a sunflower in $\mathcal{Z}' \Rightarrow \exists$ sunflower in \mathcal{Z} . \square

OPEN: (Sunflower Conjecture) The $(l!)$ can be reduced to c^l , for some constant c .

↳ Relates to fast matrix multiplication algorithms.