

# Primality Testing

- We move to factoring, or irreducibility testing, of integers.
- Motivation:
  - natural question (first raised by Gauss formally)
  - commercially, appears in RSA public-key cryptosystem; used in browsers, file transfer (SSH), smartcards, digital signature, etc.
- First question: Is input  $n$  a prime? ( $\log n$ -bits)

## Historical attempts

1) Antiquity (Eratosthenes Sieve, 300 BC)

Divide  $n$  by  $2, 3, 5, 7, 11, \dots \lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor$ .

- Doable for small  $n$ , eg.  $n=127$ .

- Infeasible for large  $n$ , eg.  $n=2^{127}-1$ .

— Ideally, we want a  $(\log n)^{O(1)}$ -time algorithm.  
→ (poly-time)

2) Fermat test (1660s): Test, for several  $a$ ,  $a^n \equiv a \pmod{n}$

- It's fast for a single ' $a$ '  $\in (\mathbb{Z}/n)$ .

- But, how many  $a$ 's should we try to be sure?

- Is this a criterion for primality?

• Carmichael (1910) showed the existence of composite  $n$ 's s.t.  $\forall a \in (\mathbb{Z}/n)^*$ ,  $a^n \equiv a \pmod{n}$ .

eg.  $n = 561 = 3 \times 11 \times 17$ .

• Alford, Granville & Pomerance (1994) showed:

Carmichael numbers are infinite.

In fact,  $\{1 \rightarrow n\}$  has  $\geq n^{2/7}$  such numbers.

3) **Solovay-Strassen (1974)**. The first "practical" primality test.

• Based on quadratic residuosity property in prime fields.

Lemma 1 (Legendre symbol): For prime  $p$  &  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  
$$\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) := \left(a^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \pmod{p}\right) \in \{-1, 0, +1\}.$$

' $a$ ' is square (or quadratic residue) in  $\mathbb{F}_p^*$  iff  $\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) = 1$ .

Pf: (seen before) .  $\square$

Lemma 2 (Jacobi symbol): For numbers  $a, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  
define 
$$\left(\frac{a}{n}\right) := \prod_{\text{prime } p|n} \left(\frac{a}{p}\right) \quad (\text{with repetition}).$$
 Then,

(i)  $\left(\frac{ab}{n}\right) = \left(\frac{a}{n}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{b}{n}\right)$  ;  $\forall a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  [Multiplicative]

(ii)  $\left(\frac{a}{n}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{n}{a}\right) = (-1)^{\frac{a-1}{2} \cdot \frac{n-1}{2}}$  ;  $\forall$  odd, coprime  $a, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

[It's called Gauss quadratic reciprocity law (1796).]

$$(iii) \left(\frac{2}{n}\right) = (-1)^{\frac{n^2-1}{8}} \quad \& \quad \left(\frac{-1}{n}\right) = (-1)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} ; \quad \forall \text{ odd } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Pf. skipped: • (i) - (iii) have elementary proofs.  
• (ii) has more than 200 proofs known!  $\square$

- Lemma 2 gives a fast algorithm to compute  $\left(\frac{a}{n}\right)$ , in a way similar to Euclid's gcd algo.

Algo: Input -  $a$  &  $n$  in binary.

1) If  $\text{gcd}(a, n) \neq 1$  then OUTPUT 0.

2.1) Reduce  $a$  to  $(a \bmod n) \in \left(-\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}\right]$ .

2.2) If  $a < 0$  then use  $\left(\frac{-a}{n}\right) = \left(-\frac{1}{n}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{a}{n}\right) = (-1)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \cdot \left(\frac{a}{n}\right)$   
to reduce 'a' to the positive case.

[Also, use  $\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right) = 1$  to handle even  $n$  case.]

2.3) If  $2|a$  then make it odd by  $\left(\frac{2}{n}\right) = (-1)^{\frac{n^2-1}{8}}$ .

2.4) If  $a=1$  then OUTPUT 1.

3) OUTPUT  $\left(\frac{n}{a}\right) \cdot (-1)^{\frac{a-1}{2} \cdot \frac{n-1}{2}}$ ,

▷ In each recursive call,  $n$  gets halved. Like  
Euclid-gcd analysis, the time complexity is  $\tilde{O}(\log n)$ .

▷ Odd  $n$  prime  $\Rightarrow \left(\frac{a}{n}\right) \equiv a^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \pmod n$ . Qn: It fails for  
composite  $n$ ?

- Solovay-Strassen used this idea to design a test:

Algo: (Input-  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  in binary)

1) If  $2|n$  or  $n = a^b$  for  $b \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$ , then  
OUTPUT Composite.

2) Pick random  $a \in (\mathbb{Z}/n)^*$ . Compute  $\left(\frac{a}{n}\right)$ .

3) If  $\left(\frac{a}{n}\right) \equiv a^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \pmod{n}$  then OUTPUT Prime,  
else OUTPUT Composite.

▷ Time taken is  $\tilde{O}(\lg^2 n)$ .

Claim 1:  $n$  prime  $\Rightarrow$  it outputs Prime.

Pf:  $\left(\frac{a}{n}\right)$  is Legendre symbol; equals  $a^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \pmod{n}$ .  $\square$

Claim 2:  $n$  composite  $\Rightarrow \Pr_{a \in (\mathbb{Z}/n)^*} [\text{output Prime}] \leq 1/2$ .

Pf: • Consider the set  $B := \{a \in (\mathbb{Z}/n)^* \mid \left(\frac{a}{n}\right) \equiv a^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \pmod{n}\}$ .

•  $B$  is a subgroup of  $(\mathbb{Z}/n)^*$ .

$\Rightarrow |B| \mid \varphi(n)$ .

• We'll show that  $B \neq (\mathbb{Z}/n)^*$ ; thus,  $|B| \leq \varphi(n)/2$ .

• The idea is Chinese Remaindering: **Assume  $B = (\mathbb{Z}/n)^*$**

• Suppose  $\exists$  prime  $p_1$  s.t.  $p_1^2 \mid n = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \dots p_k^{e_k}$  s.t.

$p_1, \dots, p_k$  are distinct primes.

•  $B = (\mathbb{Z}/n)^* \Rightarrow$  generator  $g$  of  $(\mathbb{Z}/p_1^{e_1})^*$  is in  $B$ .

$\Rightarrow g^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p_1^{e_1}} \Rightarrow \varphi(p_1^{e_1}) = p_1^{e_1-1}(p_1-1) \mid (n-1) \Rightarrow p_1 \mid (n-1)$

$\Rightarrow$  contradiction as  $p_1 | n$ .

$\Rightarrow$   $n$  is square free; say,  $n = \prod_{i=1}^k p_i$ .

• Suppose  $\exists i \in [k]$  &  $g \in (\mathbb{Z}/n)^*$  s.t.  
 $g^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \not\equiv \left(\frac{g}{p_i}\right) \pmod{p_i}$

$\Rightarrow$  By CRT, find  $a \equiv g \pmod{p_i}$  &  $a \equiv 1 \pmod{p_j}$   
for  $j \neq i$ .

$\Rightarrow a^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \equiv g^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \not\equiv \left(\frac{g}{p_i}\right) \equiv \left(\frac{a}{p_i}\right) \pmod{p_i}$

$\Rightarrow a^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \not\equiv \left(\frac{a}{n}\right) \pmod{n} \Rightarrow \notin$  to  $B = (\mathbb{Z}/n)^*$ .

• Thus, assume  $\forall g, \forall i, \underline{g^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \equiv \left(\frac{g}{p_i}\right) \pmod{p_i}}$ .

• Again, pick an  $a \in (\mathbb{Z}/n)^*$  s.t.  $\left(\frac{a}{p_1}\right) = -1$ , while  
 $a \equiv 1 \pmod{p_i}$ , for  $2 \leq i \leq k$ .

$\Rightarrow a^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \equiv \left(\frac{a}{p_1}\right) \equiv -1 \pmod{p_1}$ ; while

$\equiv \left(\frac{a}{p_i}\right) = 1 \pmod{p_i}$ , for  $i > 1$ .

$\Rightarrow a^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \not\equiv \pm 1 \pmod{n}$  [ $\because k \geq 2$ ]

$\Rightarrow a^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \not\equiv \left(\frac{a}{n}\right) \pmod{n} \Rightarrow \hookrightarrow$  to  $B = (\mathbb{Z}/n)^*$

$\Rightarrow B \neq (\mathbb{Z}/n)^*$

$\Rightarrow P_a^2 [\text{error}] \leq 1/2.$

□

Derandomization of this by Riemann Hypothesis (RH)

- (Ankeny '50 & Bach '90) showed that:

If  $B \not\subseteq (\mathbb{Z}/n)^*$  & GRH,  
then  $\exists a \in \{1, 2, \dots, \lfloor 2\sqrt{n} \rfloor\}$ ,  $a \notin B$ .

Small-certificate  $\rightarrow$  exists!

$\Rightarrow$  Solovay-Strassen derandomized under GRH.

- Next we study a slightly more practical primality test, by Miller ('75) & Rabin ('77).

- Idea: Continue beyond  $1 \equiv a^n, a^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \pmod{n}$  to  $a^{\frac{n-1}{4}}, a^{\frac{n-1}{8}}, \dots$

Whp we will see a square-root of 1 other than  $\pm 1 \pmod{n} \Rightarrow$  proving  $n$  composite.

Miller-Rabin test: (Input -  $n$  in binary)

1) < Same as SS-test >.

2.1) Randomly pick  $a \in (\mathbb{Z}/n)^*$ . If  $a^n \not\equiv 1 \pmod{n}$  then COMPOSITE.

2.2) Compute  $k, m$  s.t.  $(n-1) = 2^k \cdot m$  &  $m$  odd.

3)  $\forall i = 0$  to  $k-1$ : Compute  $u_i := a^{m \cdot 2^i} \pmod{n}$ .

4) If  $\exists i, u_i = 1$  but  $u_{i-1} \neq \pm 1$  then COMPOSITE  
else PRIME.

▷ Time taken is  $\tilde{O}(l_n^2)$ .

Fact:  $n$  prime  $\Rightarrow$  it outputs PRIME.

Pf: • For prime  $n$ ,  $\sqrt{1} \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{n}$   
since  $(\mathbb{Z}/n)$  is a field.  $\square$

Theorem: If  $n$  is odd & has  $\geq 2$  distinct prime factors,  
the bad  $a$ 's, i.e.  $\underline{B} := \left\{ a \in (\mathbb{Z}/n)^* \mid a^m \equiv 1 \text{ or } \exists i \in [0 \dots k], a^{m2^i} \equiv -1 \right\}$   
are at most  $\underline{\varphi(n)/4}$  many.

Proof: • Again, we'll use CRT on  $n$ .

• Let  $2^l$  be the highest 2-power that divides  $\gcd(p-1)$  (prime  $p$  factor of  $n$ ).

• Define  $B' := \{a \in (\mathbb{Z}/n)^* \mid a^{m2^{l-1}} \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{n}\}$ .

▷  $B \subseteq B'$  &  $B'$  is a subgroup of  $(\mathbb{Z}/n)^*$ .

Pf: •  $B'$  is clearly a subgroup.

• Let  $a \in B$ .  $\Rightarrow a^m \equiv 1$  OR  $\exists i, a^{m2^i} \equiv -1$ .

• If  $a^m \equiv 1$  then  $a \in B'$ ; done.

• Assume  $a^{m2^i} \equiv -1 \pmod{n}$ .

$\Rightarrow \forall p^e \mid n, \underline{a^{m2^i} \equiv -1 \pmod{p^e}}$  &  $a^{\varphi(p^e)} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^e}$  &  $a^{m2^{i+1}} \equiv 1$ .

$\Rightarrow 2^{i+1} \mid (p-1) \Rightarrow i+1 \leq l \Rightarrow i \leq l-1 \Rightarrow a^{m2^{l-1}} \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{n}$

$\Rightarrow a \in B'$  as well.

□

- How large is  $|B'|$ ?

$$\triangleright |B'| = 2 \cdot \prod_{p|n} [\gcd(m, p-1) \cdot 2^{t-1}]$$

Pf: • First, estimate  $\#\{a \mid a^{m2^{t-1}} = 1\}$ .

$$= \prod_{p|n} \#\{a \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^e)^* \mid a^{m2^{t-1}} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^e}\}$$

$$= \prod_{p|n} \gcd(m2^{t-1}, \varphi(p^e)) \quad [ \because (\mathbb{Z}/p^e)^* \text{ is cyclic} ]$$

$$= \prod_{p|n} \gcd(m2^{t-1}, p^{e-1}(p-1)) = \prod_{p|n} \gcd(m2^{t-1}, p-1)$$

$$= \prod_{p|n} 2^{t-1} \cdot \gcd(m, p-1)$$

• Overall, we deduce  $|B'| = 2 \cdot \prod_{p|n} 2^{t-1} \cdot \gcd(m, p-1)$ .  $\square$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{|B'|}{\varphi(n)} = 2 \cdot \prod_{p^e || n} \frac{2^{t-1} \cdot (m, p-1)}{(p-1) \cdot p^{e-1}}$$

[ $\because m$  is odd,  $(m, p-1) \cdot 2^{t-1}$  divides  $(p-1)/2$   
 $\Rightarrow$  numerator  $\leq (p-1)/2$ ]

$$< 2 \cdot \prod_{p^e || n} \frac{1/2}{p^{e-1}}$$

$\Rightarrow$   $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{If } n \text{ has } \geq 3 \text{ prime factors then the above } \leq 2 \cdot \frac{1}{8} = \frac{1}{4} \\ \text{If } \exists p|n, p^2|n \text{ then above } \leq 2 \cdot \frac{1/2}{2} \cdot 1/2 = 1/4. \end{array} \right.$

• Suppose  $n = p \cdot q$  for distinct primes  $p, q$ .

$$\Rightarrow \frac{|B'|}{\phi(n)} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{(p-1, m)}{(p-1)/2^l} \cdot \frac{(q-1, m)}{(q-1)/2^l}$$

↖ numerator  
divides  
denominator

• RHS  $> 1/4 \Rightarrow (p-1, m) = (p-1)/2^l$  &  $(q-1, m) = (q-1)/2^l$ .

• Let  $(p-1, m) =: p'$  &  $(q-1, m) =: q'$  [ $p', q'$  are odd]

$$\Rightarrow n = 2^k m + 1 = pq = (1 + 2^l p') \cdot (1 + 2^l q')$$

$$\Rightarrow 2^k m + 1 \equiv 1 + 2^l q' \pmod{p'}$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 \equiv m \equiv q' \pmod{p'} \Rightarrow p' \mid q'$$

• Similarly,  $q' \mid p' \Rightarrow p' = q' \Rightarrow p = q \Rightarrow \text{↯}$ .

$$\Rightarrow |B'| \leq \phi(n)/4 \Rightarrow |B| \leq \phi(n)/4 < n/4. \quad \square$$

Corollary 1: MR-test errs only when  $n$  is composite,  
& error probability  $< 1/4$ .

Corollary 2: MR-test derandomizes under GRH.

Pf:  
• We know  $B' \not\subseteq (\mathbb{Z}/n)^*$ .  
• Thus, from "GRH connection"  $\exists 1 \leq a \leq \lfloor 2\log^2 n \rfloor$ ,  
 $a \notin B'$ . Thus,  $a \notin B$ .  
• On composite  $n$ , this 'a' is a good certificate.  $\square$

- Cryptography is a major consumer of number theory. (e.g. HTTPS, SSH, SFTP, digital signature, etc.)

# RSA (Public-key cryptosystem)

- Primality & integer factoring appear in the cryptosystem by Rivest, Shamir & Adleman ('77).

- Preprocess: 1) Carefully choose primes  $p \neq q$ .  
2)  $n := p \cdot q$  &  $\varphi(n) := (p-1) \cdot (q-1)$ .  
3) Choose  $e \in [\varphi(n)]$  coprime to  $\varphi(n)$  &  $n$ .  
4)  $d := e^{-1} \pmod{\varphi(n)}$ .

Public-key:  $(e, n)$

Private-key:  $(d, p)$

- Encryption:  $m \xrightarrow{\text{plaintext}} (m^e \bmod n) \stackrel{=: c}{\leftarrow \text{ciphertext}}$

- Decryption:  $c \xrightarrow{} (c^d \bmod n) \equiv m \text{ (Why?)}$

$\triangleright m \xrightarrow{} m^e \xrightarrow{} (m^e)^d \equiv m^{1+k \cdot \phi(n)} \equiv m \pmod{n}$ .  
[Exercise:  $m^{\phi(n)} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ ]

- Adversary only knows  $(e, n, c)$ .

OPEN: Given  $(n, e, c)$ , is there an efficient way to compute  $d$ ,  $e^{-1} \bmod \phi(n)$  or  $c^{1/e} \bmod n$  or  $\underline{p}$ ?

$\triangleright$  finding  $\phi(n)$   $\rightarrow$  is equivalent to factoring  $n$ .

$\rightarrow$  RSA-problem.

$\rightarrow$  integer-factoring.

▷ Prime  $p$  is found by sampling & MR-test.

- Now we will focus on deterministic polynomial-time primality test.

First such test was invented by Agrawal-Kayal-S (Aug 2002).

→ It's a major example of derandomization in complexity. (It's unpractical.)