



Pen



Eraser



Text



Undo



Redo

SAVE MORE

# Berlekamp's algorithm (1967)

- Idea: Factoring  $f$  can be seen as factoring the quotient-algebra  $A := \mathbb{F}_q[x] / \langle f \rangle$

▷ By CRT:  $A \cong \prod_{i=1}^k \underbrace{\mathbb{F}_q[x] / \langle f_i \rangle}_{\pi f_i} \cong \prod_{i=1}^k \mathbb{F}_{q_i}$ .  
 $= \mathbb{F}_{q^{d/k}} =: \mathbb{F}_{q'}$

▷ RHS is known, but the isomorphism we want to find. [hence, find  $f_i$ 's]

▷ Any  $g \in A$  is a  $k$ -tuple  $(a_1, \dots, a_k)$ ,  $a_i \in \mathbb{F}_{q_i} \forall i$  &  $g \equiv a_i \pmod{f_i}$ .



Pen



Eraser



Text



Undo



Redo

SAVE MORE

$\triangleright$  If  $a_1, \dots, a_k \in \mathbb{F}_p$  then  $g^p \equiv g$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ .  
 Pf:  $\because a_i^p \equiv a_i \quad \forall i \in [k]$ . Then, use CRT.  $\square$

- Qn: Find non-constant  $g$  :  $g^p \equiv g \pmod{\langle f \rangle}$ ?  
 of  $\deg \in [1, d-1]$

$\triangleright$  Such a  $g$  gives a factor of  $f$ .

Pf:  $g^p - g \equiv 0 \pmod{\langle f \rangle}$ .

$$\Rightarrow \prod_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_p} (g - \alpha) \equiv 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^k f_i \mid \prod_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_p} (g - \alpha)$$

• Try  $\gcd_x(f, g - \alpha), \forall \alpha$ .

$\Rightarrow$

$\exists \alpha$ , where gcd has  $\deg \in [1, d-1]$ .  $\square$

$\triangleright \{ g \in \mathbb{F}_q[x] \mid g^p \equiv g \pmod{f} \}$  is a vector space over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ .

Pf:

$$\begin{aligned} \cdot (c_1 g_1 + c_2 g_2)^p &\equiv (c_1 g_1)^p + (c_2 g_2)^p \equiv c_1 g_1^p + c_2 g_2^p \\ &\equiv c_1 g_1 + c_2 g_2. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

- Let  $\mathbb{F}_q =: \mathbb{F}_p[y] / \langle G(y) \rangle$  with  $\deg G = n$ .

- Write  $g(x)$  as  $\sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \left( x^i \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} c_{ij} \cdot y^j \right)$  with unknown  $c_{ij} \in \mathbb{F}_p$ .

$$\left( \sum_{i,j} \underline{c_{ij}} x^i y^j \right)^p \equiv \left( \sum_{i,j} \underline{c_{ij}} x^i y^j \right) \equiv \left( \sum_{i,j} c_{ij} \cdot x^{pi} y^{pj} \right) \pmod{f}$$

- Berlekamp's algorithm is:

Step 1: Compute  $V := \{ g \mid g^p \equiv g \pmod{f}, 0 \leq \deg g < d \}$ ,

[  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} V \leq d \cdot n$ . Basis of  $V$  requires linear-algebra algos.;  
in time  $\tilde{O}((dn)^3 \cdot \log p) + \tilde{O}(dn \cdot \log p \cdot d \log q)$ . ]

Step 2: Pick a basis element  $g \in V \setminus \mathbb{F}_p$ .  $\forall 0 \leq i < p$ :

if  $h := \gcd(f, g - x^i) \neq 1$  then OUTPUT  $h$ .

[ Time taken =  $p \times \tilde{O}(d \log q)$ . ]

Theorem: (Berlekamp '67): Poly. fact. is in  $\tilde{O}(p \cdot (dn)^\omega)$ -time.  
 $\omega$  is MM-exponent.  $\rightarrow$

- If  $p$  is small =  $(dn)^{O(1)}$ , then this is a deterministic poly-time algorithm.

— In many CS applications, it's good enough.

- Later we see an algorithm that's fast for large  $p$ ; but it's randomized.

OPEN: General poly. fact. in det. poly-time?

## Berlekamp as a reduction method

- Berlekamp's algo. can be used to reduce poly. fact. over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  to that over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , in det. poly. time.
- This requires a nice algebraic tool - Resultant.
- Defn: • Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{F}[x]$ . Euclid's gcd algo. gives:  
 $ua + vb = (a, b)$ ;  $(\deg u, \deg v) < (\deg b, \deg a)$ .
- Related to this, is a l.s. system in  $\deg(ab)$ -many unknowns:  
$$\begin{aligned} & (u_0 + u_1x + \dots + u_{\deg b - 1}x^{\deg b - 1}) \cdot a(x) + \\ & (v_0 + v_1x + \dots + v_{\deg a - 1}x^{\deg a - 1}) \cdot b(x) = \gcd_x(a, b). \end{aligned}$$

- Comparing  $x$ -monomials on both sides, we get a matrix  $M_{a,b}$ , over  $\mathbb{F}$ , of  $\deg a \cdot b$  order.

$\Delta$  Entries of  $M_{a,b}$  are coeffs. of  $a(x)$ ,  $b(x)$  or zero.

$$M_{a,b} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} u_0 \\ u_1 \\ \vdots \\ v_0 \\ v_1 \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{Coeffs of } \gcd_x(a,b).$$

$\deg(ab)$

- Resultant of  $a, b$  is defined as:  
 $\text{Res}_x(a,b)$   $:= \det(M_{a,b}) \in \mathbb{F}$ .

Lemma:  $\text{Res}_x(a, b) \neq 0$  iff  $\text{gcd}_x(a, b) = 1$ .

Pf: • Recall the eqn.  $ua + vb = (a, b)$ .

•  $|M_{a,b}| \neq 0 \iff M_{a,b}^{-1}$  exists.

$\langle \Rightarrow \rangle$   $u, v$  exist & are unique.

$\langle \Rightarrow \rangle$   $a, b$  are coprime. (?)  $[ u' \cdot a = v' \cdot b = \frac{ab}{g} ]$

$$\Rightarrow ua + vb = (u - \underline{u'})a + (v + v')b = g$$

$$[ 1 = ua + vb = u'a + v'b \Rightarrow (u - u')a = (v' - v)b \quad \square ]$$

$$\Rightarrow u - u' = v' - v = 0.$$

- Resultant is very useful in computational algebra (& elimination theory).



- Consider  $a, b \in \mathbb{F}[x_1, x_2]$ ; consider  $c(x_1) := \text{Res}_{x_2}(a, b) \in \mathbb{F}[x_1]$ .

Corollary:  $c(x) = 0 \implies \{a(x, x_2), b(x, x_2)\}$  have a common root (or factor).

[coprime over  $\mathbb{F}(x_1)$ ]

Lemma: If  $\text{gcd}_{x_2}(a, b) = 1$  then  $ua + vb = \text{Res}_{x_2}(a, b)$  where  $(\deg_{x_2} u, \deg_{x_2} v) < (\deg_{x_2} b, \deg_{x_2} a)$  &  $u, v \in \mathbb{F}[x_1, x_2]$ .

Pf: • Bézout identity<sup>in</sup>  $\mathbb{F}(x_1)[x_2]$ :  $u'a + v'b = 1$ .  
for  $u', v' \in$  may not be in  $\mathbb{F}[x_1, x_2]$

$\Rightarrow$  By clearing the denominator, we get

$u, v \in \mathbb{F}[x_1, x_2]$  &  $w \in \mathbb{F}[x_1]$  s.t.

$$ua + vb = w(x_1). \quad [u := u' \cdot w \text{ \& } v := v' \cdot w]$$

• Consider the eqn.  $M_{a,b} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \underline{u'} \\ \underline{v'} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} =: \bar{B}$   
entries in  $\mathbb{F}[x_1]$

• By Cramer's rule:  $\begin{pmatrix} \underline{u'} \\ \underline{v'} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\text{adj}(M_{a,b}) \cdot \bar{B}}{|M_{a,b}|}$

$\Rightarrow$   $w$  can be set to  $\text{Res}_{x_2}(a, b)$ .



$$\triangleright \text{Res}_{x_2}(a, b) \in \langle a, b \rangle_{\mathbb{F}[x_1, x_2]} \cap \mathbb{F}[x_1].$$

Earlier,  $\triangleright$  For  $a, b \in \mathbb{F}[x]$ :  $\text{Res}_x(a, b) \in \langle a, b \rangle_{\mathbb{F}[x]} \cap \mathbb{F}$ .

- Also, we've a degree bound for resultant:

$$\begin{aligned} \triangleright \deg_{x_1} \text{Res}_{x_2}(a, b) &\leq \deg_{x_2} b \cdot \deg_{x_1} a + \deg_{x_1} b \cdot \deg_{x_2} a \\ &\leq 2 \cdot (\deg a) \cdot (\deg b). \end{aligned}$$

$\rightsquigarrow$  Resultant is 'low'-degree.

## Reduction of factoring ( $\mathbb{F}_q$ to $\mathbb{F}_p$ )

- Recall univariate factoring over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .
  - $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$  factors into  $k$  equi-degree coprime irreducibles in  $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$ .

Theorem: Factoring over  $\mathbb{F}_q \leq_p$  Factoring over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ .

Pf: • Berlekamp gives a  $g(x)$  s.t.

$$0 < \deg g < \deg f =: d \quad \& \quad g^p \equiv g \pmod{f}.$$

- $\text{Res}_x(f(x), g(x)-y) =: h(y)$ .  $\leftarrow$  Compute it.  
 $\triangleright \deg h \leq d.$

• By the properties of resultant, we know that:  
 $\mathbb{F}_p$ -root  $\alpha$  of  $h(y) \iff \gcd(f(x), g(x) - \alpha) \neq 1$ .

• Instead of searching for  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_p$ , simply factorize  
 $h_1(y) := \gcd(h(y), y^p - y)$ .

▷  $h_1$  completely splits & has 'good'  $\alpha$  as roots!

▷  $\deg h_1 \leq d$ .

• All the steps are doable in  $\text{poly}(\deg q)$ -time.  $\square$

Corollary ( $\mathbb{F}_p$ -root-finding): For poly.fact. over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , it suffices to factor an  $f \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]$ , that completely splits into distinct  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -roots.